2017-00589 RPW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Jurisdictional Features Form

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 2017
- B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: HCED, SWG-2017-00589, RPW Williams Gully

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Texas County/parish/borough: Harris City: Atascocita Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 29.965067° N, Long. -95.194193° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 288274.96 m E 3316940.04 m N

Name of nearest waterbody: Williams Gully HCFCD Drainage Unit No. P130-02-00 Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Greens Bayou Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 12040101



Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 18, 2017

Field Determination. Date(s): November 30, 2017

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters²
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Williams Gully (Stream 1)
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: Williams Gully (Stream 1) linear feet: 97.26 length, 32 feet average width (ft) and/or 0.07 acres. Wetlands: acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Unknown.
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Pick List Drainage area: 84.9 square miles Average annual rainfall: 49.77 inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 □ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW. Williams Gully > Garner's Bayou (RPW) > Greens Bayou (RPW) > Greens Bayou (TNW)

Project waters are 10-15 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Williams Gully > Garner's Bayou (RPW) > Greens Bayou (RPW) > Greens Bayou (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: In Natural Image: Characteristics (check all that apply): Image: Check all that apply: Imag
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):Average width: 100feetAverage depth: 15feetAverage side slopes:3:1.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Image: Concrete in the concrete
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Williams Gully (Stream 1) is confined within a concrete channel. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 0-1 %
	(c)	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
		Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: Williams Gully (Stream 1) is confined within concrete channel and banks.
		Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: .
		Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):
(iii)	Cha Cha Ider	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: ntify specific pollutants, if known:
(iv)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):

3

Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Juvenile fish and turtle species.

4

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:
 - Properties:
 - Wetland size: acres
 - Wetland type. Explain:
 - Wetland quality. Explain:
 - Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

- Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) <u>Proximity (Relationship) to TNW</u> Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
 - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

 TNWs:
 linear feet
 width (ft), Or,
 acres.

 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:
 acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Williams Gully (Stream 1), is a man-made, channelized tributary of a larger segment of Williams Gully, located less than one mile downstream of the subject property, which flows directly into Garners Bayou, an RPW. The downstream segment of Williams Gully is mapped as early as 1916 on USGS topographic maps. Field observations indicate Williams Gully (Stream 1) contains multiple stormwater outfall culverts. Williams Gully (Stream 1) receives stormwater flow from the surrounding neighborhood, which receives approximately 49 inches annually, according to the Houston Intercontinental Airport NOAA station. Stream 1 also contains many juvenile fish species as well as turtle species.

	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: 97.26 linear feet 32 width (ft). 0.07 acres
	U Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	 Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Uther non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters.
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
	indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is
	directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is
	seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	 Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
0.	Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹
	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
	Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
	Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ¹⁰
	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
	from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
Н	Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
	Other factors. Explain:
Ld.	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting datarmination.
TUE	intri y water bouy and summarize rationale supporting uster mination:

6

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

E.

⁸See Footnote # 3.
⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

		Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.		 N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Prov facto judg	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
	Prov a fir	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTIC	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. :		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: US Coelectical Survey Hydrologic Atlast:
		 ☑ USGS NHD data. □ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: .

Date	Scale	Quadrangle Names
1916	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1920	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1944	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1954	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1967	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1982	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1995	1''=1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
2010	1''=1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
2013	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
2016	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas

 USDA Natural Resource
 National wetlands inventory map(s).
 State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
 FEMA/FIRM maps: Panel Number 482/ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (N
 Photographs. Aerial (Name & Date): or Other (Name & Date): USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Harris County, Texas Soil Survey. .

National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:

FEMA/FIRM maps: Panel Number 48201C0405M, Effective October 16, 2013.

(National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

. .

7.

Historical Aerial Photographs

Date	Imagery Type	Scale	Source
1938	B&W	1'' = 500'	USDA
1944	B&W	1'' = 500'	ASCS
1953	B&W	1'' = 500'	USDA
1966	B&W	1'' = 500'	USDA
1978	B&W	1'' = 500'	USDA
1989	B&W	1'' = 500'	TXDOT
1995	IR	1'' = 500'	USGS/DOQQ
2004	IR	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAI
2008	TC	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAI
2012	TC	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAIP
2015	TC	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAIP

B&W: Black and white photograph IR: Infrared photograph TC: True color photograph

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
 Applicable/supporting case law:
 Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
 Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

in the second			
Williams Gully (Stream 1)	32 ft. Width	9 in. to 10 in. depth	100 ft. Width
	16 in. Depth		15 ft. Depth

1

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Isolated Features Form

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): January 2, 2018 Α.

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Harris County Engineering Dept (HCED), SWG-2017-00598, ISO JD B.

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Texas County/parish/borough: Harris City: Atascocita Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 29.965067° N, Long. -95.194193° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 288274.96 m E 3316940.04 m N Name of nearcst waterbody: Williams Gully HCFCD Drainage Unit No. P130-02-00

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: NONE Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 12040101



Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): D.

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 18, 2017

 \mathbb{X} Field Determination. Date(s): November 30, 2017

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	Ger Wat Dra Ave Ave	teral Area Conditions: tershed size: Pick List inage area: Pick List trage annual rainfall: inches trage annual snowfall: inches
(ii)	Phy (a)	sical Characteristics: <u>Relationship with TNW:</u> Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Fick List.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Muck Other. Explain: .

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

- Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 Not directly abutting

- Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
 - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. Provide estimates for invidictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- hi e pre a Tribution vident idile di acres.
- Other non-wetland waters:
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

- Tributary waters: lin Other non-wetland waters: linear feet width (ft).
 - - Identify type(s) of waters:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 4.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 5.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, E. DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

State Local wetland inventory maples?

[X] TTMA DRM mass: Pupel Number 18201 (10405M1110 Series October 16) 2013.

[100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):

or 🗌 Other (Name & Date):

Historical Aerial Photographs

Dia .		Selle - Left	Source
1938	B&W	1`` = 500`	USDA
1944	B&W	1`` = 500'	ASCS
1953	B&W	1``= 500`	USDA
1966	B&W	$1^{**} = 500^{*}$	USDA
1978	B&W	1``= 500`	USDA
1989	B&W	1``= 500`	TXDOT
1995	IR	$1^{*} = 500^{*}$	USGS/DOQQ
2004	IR	$1^{*} = 500^{*}$	USDA/NAI
2008	TC	1``= 500'	USDA/NA1
2012	TC	1``= 500`	USDA/NAIP
2015	TC	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAIP

B&W: Black and white photograph

IR: Infrared photograph

TC: True color photograph

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

Applicable/supporting case law:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: There are two wetland identified with the reviewed area (WET A, 0.03 ac and WET B, 0.01 ac). WET A is bound on the east side by an earthen berm, and WET B is located within a depression likely created from vehicle traffic. WET A and WET B exists entirely within the mapped soil type, Addicks Loam soil type which is listed as containing hydric components in depressions in Harris County and it does not exist within a designated FEMA floodplain (Zone X). WET A measures approximately 0.03 acre in size and is dominated by hydrophytic vegetation including southern waxy sedge and anglestem beaksedge and WET B measures approximately 0.01 acres in size and is dominated by hydrophytic vegetation including sand spikerush and roundhead rush. WET A and WET B do not appear to have a hydrologic connection to any Waters of the U.S.

Based on the topography and aerial imagery, the majority of the site is level, with gradual sloping north to south. The wetlands were identified using the 1987 Manual regional supplement: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region, which requires that all three wetland criteria be present under normal circumstances for areas to be determined a wetland. The wetlands are depression area that experience seasonal hydrology during and after rain events, providing the conditions necessary for wetlands to establish.

The nearest Water of the U.S. in relation to WET A and WET B, is Williams Gully, which is located approximately 0.5 miles north of the two wetlands. WET A and WET B are not locate in reasonable close proximity to any Waters of the U.S. As such, under normal conditions the hydrologic cycle, this wetlands would not be anticipated to share surface hydrology with the nearest Waters of the U.S. It is not a tidal waters, nor party of a surface water tributary system to interstate water or navigable waters of the U.S. nor are they located "adjacent" (as defined in federal regulations) to any tributary waters; as such both wetlands have been determined to by "ISOLATED" as defined in federal regulations (33 CFR 330.2(e)).

"Adjacent" as per Federal regulations 33 CFR 328.3 is defined as "bordering, contiguous or neighboring." Wetlands separated from other Waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are 'adjacent wetlands'." The nearest Waters of the U.S. to the wetlands is William's Gully. The wetland does not border nor is it contiguous (abutting) to William's Gully. The wetland is not neighboring William's Gully as determined by the fact that they are, under normal conditions in the hydrologic cycle, not located in reasonably close proximity to another Water of the U.S. (and are not located in a contiguous or bordering landscape position) that would have shared surface hydrology with another Water of the U.S. during expected high flow (e.g. the 100-year floodplain). Nor is there any known demonstrable species ecological interconnection requiring the wetland in question and the nearest Waters of the U.S. to spawn and/or fulfill their life cycle requirements. WET A and WET B are physically separated from other Waters of the U.S. by geographic factors that do not allow the exchange of waters, via a confined surface hydrology connection during normal conditions and are not inseparably bound with William's Gully.

"Isolated" waters as defined in 33 CFR 330.2 (e) is: "those non-tidal Waters of the U.S. that are: (1) not part of a surface tributary system to interstate or navigable Waters of the U.S.; and (2) not adjacent to such tributary waterbodies." The wetland is identified as a wetlands (aquatic resources) that have been determined to be isolated.





APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

2017-00598 upland6

Upland Form

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 2017 A.

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: 2017-00598 UPLAND POINTS R.

C. **PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Texas County/parish/borough: Harris City: Atascocita Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 29.965067° N, Long. -95.194193° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 288274.96 m E 3316940.04 m N

Name of nearest waterbody: Williams Gully HCFCD Drainage Unit No. P130-02-00

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Greens Bayou Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 12040101



Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): D.

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 18, 2017 \boxtimes
- \boxtimes Field Determination. Date(s): November 30, 2017

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
 - TNWs, including territorial seas

- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

Approximately half of the subject property is dominated by herbaceous upland communities that lack one or more of the three criteria necessary to be described as a wetland community. The herbaceous upland lack hydric soil indicators, and are dominated by Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon, FACU*) and hogwort (*Croton capitatus, UPL*). Upland datapoints Up 1, Up 2, Up 4, and Up B are representative of the herbaceous uplands in the area.

The other upland areas of the subject property are forested uplands, which also lack one or more of the three criteria necessary to be described as a wetland community. The forested upland communities are slightly higher in elevation on the landscape, and are dominated by loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda, FAC*) and yaupon (*Ilex vomitoria, FAC*). Upland datapoints Up 3, Up 5, and Up A are representative of the forested uplands in the area.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
 - (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Pick List Drainage area: Pick List

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Ave Ave	rage annual rainfall: inches rage annual snowfall: inches
(ii)	Phy	sical Characteristics.
(11)	(a)	Relationship with TNW.
	(a)	Tributary flows directly into TNW
		Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
		Project waters are Fick List inverting of the from TNW
		Project waters are Pick List actual (straight) miles from PDW
		Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		Identify flow route to TNW ⁵
		Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
		Tributary is: 🔲 Natural
		Artificial (man-made), Explain:
		Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
		Average width: feet
		Average denth: feet
		Average side slopes: Pick List.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
		Silts Sands Concrete
		Cobbles Gravel Muck
		Bedrock Uveretation Type/% cover:
		Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:
		Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:
		Tributary geometry: Pick List
		Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
	(c)	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Diak List
		Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Dick List
		Describe flow regime:
		Other information on duration and volume:
		Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:
		Dye (or other) test performed:
		Tributary has (check all that apply):
		Bed and banks
		\Box OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply):
		□ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ the presence of litter and debris
		☐ changes in the character of soil
		shelving the presence of wrack line
		vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting
		leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour
		sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events
		water staining abrupt change in plant community
		other (list):

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Discontinuous OHWM.⁷ Explain:

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

High Tide Line indicated by:

physical markings/characteristics

Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum;

- oil or scum line along shore objects survey t
- ☐ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) ☐ physical markings;

vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

tidal gauges other (list):

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:
 - Properties:
 - Wetland size: acres
 - Wetland type. Explain:
 - Wetland quality. Explain:

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: <u>Pick List</u>. Explain:

> Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) <u>Proximity (Relationship) to TNW</u> Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wilding diversity. Explain finding

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List

Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
-----------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	-----------------

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	 RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	 Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	 Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	 Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	 Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 ⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

E.	 ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	 NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	 Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
А.	 SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Date	Scale	Quadrangle Names
1916	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1920	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1944	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1954	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1967	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1982	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
1995	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
2010	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
2013	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas
2016	1'' = 1,240'	7.5' Harmaston, Texas

Historical USGS Topographic Maps

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Harris County, Texas Soil Survey.

National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:

State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

FEMA/FIRM maps: Panel Number 48201C0405M, Effective October 16, 2013..

(National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) 100-year Floodplain Elevation is:

Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):

or Other (Name & Date):

Historical Aerial Photographs

Date	Imagery Type	Scale	Source
1938	B&W	1'' = 500'	USDA
1944	B&W	1'' = 500'	ASCS
1953	B&W	1'' = 500'	USDA
1966	B&W	1'' = 500'	USDA
1978	B&W	1'' = 500'	USDA
1989	B&W	1'' = 500'	TXDOT
1995	IR	1'' = 500'	USGS/DOQQ
2004	IR	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAI
2008	TC	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAI
2012	TC	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAIP
2015	TC	1'' = 500'	USDA/NAIP

B&W: Black and white photograph

IR: Infrared photograph TC: True color photograph

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

.

Applicable/supporting case law:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Feature Name	Feature Type	Latitude	Longitude	UTM Zone 15N	
				Northing (meters)	Easting (meters)
UP-1	Herbaceous Upland	29.96665557	-95.19353109	3317120	288342.2158
UP-2	Herbaceous Upland	29.96266716	-95.1942615	3316670	288263.259
UP-3	Forested Upland	29.96244339	-95.19425227	3316650	288263.6753
UP-4	Herbaceous Upland	29.96005715	-95.19336532	3316380	288344.2239
UP 5	Forested Upland	29.95990505	-95.19331704	3316370	288348.5618
UP-A	Forested Upland	29.96303054	-95.19427881	3316710	288262.359
UP-B	Herbaceous Upland	29.95732435	-95.19320992	3316080	288353.4303